#### The United States federal government should make bilateral binding commitments to limit the review of foreign investment in wind power by the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States to cases in which national security, not economic competition is a factor, if and only if countries make binding commitments to reciprocal trade liberalization. The United States federal government should limit the review of foreign investment in wind power by the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States to cases in which national security, not economic competition is a factor for countries without trade barriers.

#### Only the counterplan solves trade – other countries want to reduce trade barriers but can’t overcome domestic political pressure – the counterplan is the necessary carrot and stick

Conconi and Perroni 12

Paola Conconi, Professor of Economics at the Université Libre de Bruxelles, and Carlo Perroni, Economics Professor, U. Warwick (UK), 5/12, “Conditional versus unconditional trade concessions for developing countries,” Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d'économique, Volume 45, Issue 2, pages 613–631, <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1540-5982.2012.01711.x/full>

We have presented a two-country model of trade relations between a small developing

AND

and the international coordination problem affect policy formation in the same direction.21

#### China will say yes – leverage on energy investment is key to Chinese liberalization

Politico 12

Politico 10/5/12, <http://www.politico.com/news/stories/1012/82090_Page2.html>

With the Chinese economy slowing amid fears of a housing bubble, more Chinese firms

AND

“It’s time that we demand equal treatment from China,” Schumer wrote.

Econ

1. Alt cause—conflict over currency deflation.
2. Frame anything as security concern.

No war – China won’t risk it all and no flashpoints.

Bremmer 10 [Ian, president of Eurasia Group and the author, most recently, of The End of the Free Market: Who Wins the War between States and Corporations?, Gathering Storm: America and China in 2020 July/August 2010 http://www.worldaffairsjournal.org/articles/2010-JulyAugust/full-Bremmer-JA-2010.html]

In addition, Beijing has no incentive to mount a global military challenge to U

AND

of Taiwan’s business elite of privileged access to investment opportunities on the mainland.

Interdependence prevents war.

Economy 10 [Elizabeth C. Economy, Director of Asia Studies at the Council on Foreign Relations, A Pleasant Surprise While in China…Then Back to Reality Posted on http://blogs.cfr.org/asia/2010/09/16/a-pleasant-surprise-while-in-china-then-back-to-reality/ Thursday, September 16, 2010]

This looks to be the new norm—a perpetual, rapid cycling of upswings

AND

on, but it will have to be enough for the time being.

US-China trade disputes don’t escalate – new normal.

Feigenbaum 10 [Evan A. Feigenbaum is head of the Asia practice group at the Eurasia Group and adjunct senior fellow for Asia at the Council on Foreign Relations. From 2001 to 2009, he worked on East, Central, and South Asia at the U.S. State Department. Reluctant Warriors OCTOBER 19, 2010 http://www.foreignpolicy.com/articles/2010/10/19/reluctant\_warriors?page=full]

A full-fledged trade war between the United States and China would be disastrous

AND

fast becoming a "new normal" in relations between Washington and Beijing.

Economic decline doesn’t cause war.

Jervis 11 [Robert, Adlai E. Stevenson Professor of International Politics in the Department of Political Science, and a Member of the Arnold A. Saltzman Institute of War and Peace Studies at Columbia University. Force in Our Times Saltzman Working Paper No. 15 July 2011 http://www.siwps.com/news.attachment/saltzmanworkingpaper15-842/SaltzmanWorkingPaper15.PDF]

Even if war is still seen as evil, the security community could be dissolved

AND

times bring about greater economic conflict, it will not make war thinkable.

No protectionism – interconnected world.

Schuman 10 [Michael, author and journalist who specializes in Asian economics, politics and history. He is currently the Asia business correspondent for TIME Magazine, based in Hong Kong. Prior to writing for TIME, Schuman spent more than six years as a correspondent in Asia with The Wall Street Journal. Is the world in a trade war? September 29, 2010 http://curiouscapitalist.blogs.time.com/2010/09/29/is-the-world-in-a-trade-war]

How likely is this scenario? There is some confidence that the world's leaders still

AND

to play nice, and the fears of a 1930s rerun are overblown.

Protectionism doesn’t hurt trade or escalate.

Economist 10 [The recovery in trade Defying gravity and history Aug 5th 2010 Despite dire predictions of a repeat of the 1930s, trade is bouncing back http://www.economist.com/node/16743780?story\_id=16743780]

Trade has not been devastated by the raft of protectionist actions taken during the downturn

AND

from rich countries in 2008, but last year this rose to 47.

No protectionism – four reasons.

Oxford Analytic 10 [independent strategic-consulting firm drawing on a network of more than 1,000 scholar-experts at Oxford and other leading universities and research institutions around the world. For more information, please visit here. http://www.forbes.com/2010/09/20/protectionism-g-20-wto-business-oxford-analytica-trade.html?boxes=financechannelforbes World Leaders Unconvinced On Doha's Urgency Oxford Analytica, 09.21.10, 06:00 AM EDT]

Reasons A number of reasons help explain why protectionism has been kept in check:

AND

also has commitments to partners in a regional or bilateral free trade agreements.

No worst-case scenario – rules-based system and self-interest check escalation of protectionism.

Ikenson 9 [Daniel J., the associate director of the Center for Trade Policy Studies at the Cato Institute, A Protectionism Fling: Why Tariff Hikes and Other Trade Barriers Will Be Short-Lived March 12, 2009 Free Trade Bulletin no. 37 http://www.cato.org/pub\_display.php?pub\_id=10651]

A Little Perspective, Please Although some governments will dabble in some degree of protectionism

AND

far more impressive than when governments attempt to limit choices through policy constraints.

No protectionism – their ev is pure rhetoric.

Ikenson 9 [Daniel J., the associate director of the Center for Trade Policy Studies at the Cato Institute, A Protectionism Fling: Why Tariff Hikes and Other Trade Barriers Will Be Short-Lived March 12, 2009 Free Trade Bulletin no. 37 http://www.cato.org/pub\_display.php?pub\_id=10651]

But despite the occasional anti-trade rhetoric of the Democratic Congress and the protectionist

AND

United States, so an open trade policy is an example to uphold.

**China**

No cooperation – remiliteraization and territorial claims.

Chang 10 [Gordon G., author of Nuclear Showdown: North Korea Takes On the World, released by Random House in January 2006. Showdown focuses on nuclear proliferation in general and the North Korean crisis in particular. His first book is The Coming Collapse of China (Random House, August 2001). He is a columnist at Forbes.com. He lived and worked in China and Hong Kong for almost two decades, most recently in Shanghai, as Counsel to the American law firm Paul Weiss and earlier in Hong Kong as Partner in the international law firm Baker & McKenzie. His writings on China and North Korea have appeared in The New York Times, The Wall Street Journal, the Far Eastern Economic Review, the International Herald Tribune, Commentary, The Weekly Standard, National Review, and Barron's. He has spoken at Columbia, Cornell, Princeton, Yale, and other universities and at The Brookings Institution, The Heritage Foundation, the Cato Institute, RAND, the American Enterprise Institute, the Council on Foreign Relations, and other institutions. He has given briefings at the National Intelligence Council, the Central Intelligence Agency, the State Department, and the Pentagon. He has also spoken before industry and investor groups including Bloomberg, Sanford Bernstein, and Credit Lyonnais Securities Asia. Chang has testified before the U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission and has delivered to the Commission a report on the future of China’s economy. , Trouble in Fishing Waters China’s military provocations. October 11, 2010, Vol. 16, No. 04 http://www.weeklystandard.com/articles/trouble-fishing-waters?page=2]

So why did Beijing make the strategic shift from subtle diplomacy to outright confrontation?

AND

and that we now need to adjust our assumptions and our policies fast.

Squo solves cooperation on green energy

Dixon 10 [Darius, ClimateWire, Amid Trade Tensions, U.S. Creates More Clean Tech Research Partnerships With China September 14, 2010 http://www.nytimes.com/cwire/2010/09/14/14climatewire-amid-trade-tensions-us-creates-more-clean-te-79928.html]

The number of clean energy partnerships between the United States and China reached a new

AND

web of partners -- and the partners of the partners," said Assanis.

Can’t solve warming–

Deforestation.

Nordhaus 8 [Ted Nordhaus and Michael Shellenberger, Co-Founders – Break Through Institute, Break Through, p. 64]

None of this is to deny the ecological reality. The burning of forests,

AND

destruction of the Amazon would still leave the global climate system in jeopardy.

Agriculture.

Mead 11 [January 30, 2011 Mad Meat Making Scientist Proves Climate Doomsayers Wrong Walter Russell Mead Via Meadia http://blogs.the-american-interest.com/wrm/2011/01/30/mad-meat-making-scientist-proves-climate-doomsayers-wrong/]

According to a United Nations report (which must as we all know be completely

AND

while milk output is set to climb from 580 to 1043 million tonnes.

And Tickell’s argument for why warming causes extinction is premised on rapid sea level rise – he majorly fudged the data there.

Lomborg 8 [Bjorn, adjunct professor at the Copenhagen Business School, director of the Copenhagen Consensus Centre and a former director of the Environmental Assessment Institute in Copenhagen Warming warnings get overheated15 August 2008 http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/2008/aug/15/carbonemissions.climatechange]

Much of the global warming debate is perhaps best described as a constant outbidding by

AND

centimetres – Tickell is simply exaggerating by a factor of up to 400.

#### No mass extinctions because of adaption

Times UK, 11-6-09, [“Experts say that Fears Surrounding Climate Change are overblown”, http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/science/article6905082.ece] Zheng

Alarming predictions that climate change will lead to the extinction of hundreds of species may

AND

comfortable with, they don’t just die, they move,” he said.